IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and)	
THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-1041
V.)	Chief Judge Robert J. Jonker
)	Magistrate Judge Ray Kent
NCR CORPORATION,)	
Defendant.)	

UNITED STATES' MOTION TO ENTER THE CONSENT DECREE WITH NCR CORPORATION

The United States asks this Court to approve and enter the Consent Decree with NCR

Corporation ("NCR"), which was lodged on December 11, 2019. ECF. No. 2. The United States and the State of Michigan (the "State") sued NCR under Sections 106 and/or 107 of the

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42

U.S.C. §§ 9606 & 9607, for recovery of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's

("EPA") unreimbursed past and future response costs and the performance of work associated with the clean-up of the Allied Paper/Portage Creek/Kalamazoo River Superfund Site

("Kalamazoo Site" or the "Site"). Simultaneously, the United States and the State lodged a

Consent Decree valued at more than \$245 million, which resolves NCR's liability at the Site.

Under the Consent Decree, NCR will: (1) perform cleanup work at the Site at an estimated cost of \$135.7 million; (2) pay \$76.5 million for past and future response costs at the Site; (3) pay

\$27 million for natural resources damages, including \$2 million for assessment costs; and (4) pay

\$6 million to the State for past and future response costs. NCR will also withdraw its appeal of

this Court's judgment in Georgia-Pac. Consumer Prod. LP v. NCR Corp., 1:11-cv-483 (W.D. Mich.) and satisfy the judgment by paying approximately \$20 million to Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products LP, Fort James Corporation, and Georgia-Pacific LLC (collectively, "Georgia-Pacific" or "GP").

After lodging the Consent Decree, the United States also published notice of the proposed settlement in the Federal Register to solicit public comments and held a 60-day public comment period, which closed on February 18, 2020. See 84 Fed. Reg. 68,946 (Dec. 17, 2019); 85 Fed. Reg. 529 (Jan. 6, 2020). The United States received 11 sets of comments, which are attached as Appendix A. Notwithstanding these comments, the United States believes that the Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and consistent with CERCLA and the Court should approve and enter the Decree. The Consent Decree ensures the next decade of cleanup work at the Site will proceed without interruption or delay, provides substantial funding to perform additional cleanup work, and provides early funding for restoration projects to address natural resources damages at the Site that would otherwise not occur for years.

Respectfully submitted,

KAREN S. DWORKIN **Deputy Section Chief Environmental Enforcement Section** Environment & Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice

Date: May 22, 2020

KRISTIN M. FURRIE Senior Counsel **Environmental Enforcement Section** U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044

(202) 616-6515

s/ Kristin M. Furrie

Kristin.Furrie@usdoj.gov

ANDREW BYERLY BIRGE United States Attorney Western District of Michigan

Adam B. Townshend Assistant United States Attorney Western District of Michigan 330 Ionia Ave. N.W., Suite 501 Grand Rapids, MI 49503

Of Counsel: NICOLE WOOD-CHI Associate Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 5 77 W. Jackson Blvd. (C-14J) Chicago, IL 60604